

In response for Promo-LEX chief's statement

In April of 2015, a statement was released by Promo-LEX Assosiation which is adressed to a number of international organizations, diplomatic circles in Republic of Moldova as well as its officials regarding the activity of "so called governmental security comitee of Transnistria" (cited). The statement contains calls of judging "unconstitutional structures" of Transnistria, requirements of intervention in internal affairs of Transnistria "which aim to cover Promo-LEX activity" (cited) etc., also is expressed a request for Transnistrian KGB to comment circumstances of criminal investigation initiated by the Investigative Comitee of Transnistria over the activity of mentioned above Association.

International practice of intelligence services is supposed, also according to national law, to keep in secret any results of opratively-search activity untill the end of investigation and making a judgement on merits. In consequense, it was advisable to leave no comments over the stated requirement.

At the same time, considering combination of overt and covert methods of KGB of Transnistria activity, and also approvals of Mr. Ion Manole about "international recognition of Promo-LEX as a human rights deffending organization", I consider to underline the next:

At all positive role of human rights defending activity in order to develop a civil society, during its long term functioning, Promo-LEX Association has discredited itself, and for acknowledgement of Mr. Manole's opinion over the international recognition of the organization, it has to be more consistent in implementation of its stated program, as well as answering the next questions:

- Why there is a lack of any human rights defending position of the Association over ukrainian nationalists fireguns in april of the current year againts Transnistrian territory and the heavy wounded inhabitant of the region;
- Where is exposed officaly, publicly and in mass the Association's position over the initiation of criminal cases in regard of a number of transnistrian civil servants and economic agents for the performance by them of direct and legitimate functional tasks. Wherein for today there are created unprecedented conditions of an economic blockade of Transnistria, resembled only with a genocide;
- Where and in what shape was expressed Promo-LEX reaction on the prosecution of the opposant bogger Eduard Bagirov in period of 2009-2011 by the law enforcement authorities of Republic of Moldova, in the end blogger was forced to flee off the country;
- In opinion of Promo-LEX, what is the fundamental difference between criminal case on Eduard Bagirov and Transnistrian inhabitant S. Ilichenko, who, by the way, used to publish calls for an armed overthrow of legally and legitimate elected authority in Transnistria;
- Where and in what shape is expressed human rights defending position of Promo-LEX upon the withdrawal of funds from the Moldovan banks abroad, the fact that violates the right of Moldovan citizens for a decent and guaranteed social security;
- Did ever Promo-LEX make any comparative expert judgement of criminal procedures and administrative law of the USA (for instance) and Transnistrian one on subject of

correspondence with international standards, including racial weapon usage and torture in prisons and special camps in third world countries. Have they studied the correspondence between Romanian Constitution and placement on its territory of such an american campus;

- What human rights defending actions are assumed by Promo-LEX over the case of restrictions (flights) imposed by Moldovan authorities on transnistrian officials and a number of transnistrian citizens, putting pressure on them with the aim of recruiting in special services of Republic of Moldova.

How I imagine, “internationally recognized” Promo-LEX does not have answers for these questions. Moreover, there is a need to underline the fact that its human rights defending features work against Transnistrian Republic in a destructive and hostile manner, and according to reports that we have got- it has custom character. How is to say- customer’s money does not smell. Thus, the settlement of transnistrian conflict using internationally recognized negotiating process in “5+2” format, how Ion Manole as a human right defender is mentioning, does not represent a legal category and instrument.

I have the honor!

Chief of Governmental Security Comitee of Transnistria

M.L. Lapitski